

Article

Seasonal Variations and Thinning Effects on Soil Phosphorus Fractions in *Larix principis-rupprechtii* Mayr. Plantations

Huixia Tian, Xiaoqin Cheng, Hairong Han * , Hongyuan Jing, Xujun Liu and Zuzheng Li

Beijing key laboratory of forest resources and ecosystem processes, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China; sxtianhx@126.com (H.T.); cxq_200074@163.com (X.C.); blackycatty@163.com (H.J.); Mrxujunliu@163.com (X.L.); lzzbjfu@126.com (Z.L.)

* Correspondence: hanhr6015@bjfu.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-10-6233-6015

Received: 16 December 2018; Accepted: 14 February 2019; Published: 18 February 2019



Abstract: Thinning is a common management practice in forest ecosystems. However, understanding whether thinning treatment will change the availability of phosphorus (P) in soils, and the effect of thinning on the seasonal dynamics of soil P fractions, are still limited. The objective of the present study was to assess seasonal variations in soil P fractions under different forest thinning management strategies in a Larch (*Larix* spp.) plantation in northern China. To accomplish this, we examined soil P fractions, soil physical–chemical properties, and litter biomass under control (CK), light (LT), moderate (MT) and high thinning (HT) treatments. Data were collected during the growing season of 2017. We found that most P fractions varied seasonally at different soil depths, with the highest values occurring in the summer and autumn. When compared to CK, MT enhanced the inorganic P (P_i) concentration extracted by resin strip (R- P_i). Labile organic P (Labile P_o), moderately labile P and total P (TP) also increased in both MT and HT treatments irrespective of season. In contrast, less-labile P_i and P_o fractions were lower in LT than in CK, especially when examining deeper soil layers. Our results suggest that LT leads to a strong ability to utilize P_o and less-labile P_i . Moreover, the effect of thinning did not tend to increase with thinning intensity, P availability was maximized at the MT. Ultimately, we show that MT can improve soil P bioavailability and is recommended in *Larix principis-rupprechtii* Mayr. plantations of North China. Our results emphasize that the effect of thinning management on soil microenvironment is an important basis for evaluating soil nutrients such as soil P bioavailability.

Keywords: forest density adjustment; microbial activity; seasonality; soil microenvironment; soil P bioavailability

1. Introduction

Soil phosphorus (P) occurs in both inorganic (P_i) and organic (P_o) forms, and consists of compounds with varying degrees of solubility and bioavailability. Soil P is also one of the most important macronutrients necessary for plant growth [1]. Due to soil P fixation, only a small portion of P_i in soils is actually soluble and readily available to plants [2]. Phosphorous availability for microorganisms and plants largely depends on soil P fractions. As such, understanding different soil P fractions is essential to evaluating P bioavailability in soils [3]. Because of this, there has been increased interest in studying soil P fractions in terms of labile, moderately labile, and non-labile forms in P_i and P_o fractions [4–7].

Soil microorganisms play a key role in P_o transformation via P synthesis and release, excretion of phosphatase, and mineralization of P from organic materials [8]. Plants and microorganisms compete

for available P [9]. Specifically, they actively produce phosphatase enzymes that facilitate P_o hydrolysis, thus, increasing P availability for plants [10]. Likewise, bioavailable P_i can be bounded in soil microbial biomass after mineralization, resulting in a decrease in plant-available P [11].

Thinning is a common forest management practice [12]. Thinning can affect soil microbial structure and function by altering microenvironments (e.g., soil moisture and temperature), as well as the quality and quantity of substrate inputs (e.g., litter and roots), which can further influence soil P bioavailability [13]. Kim, et al. [14] reported that thinning promotes the accumulation of microbial biomass, and is positively correlated with total soil C and N. Furthermore, this effect of thinning was found to increase with thinning intensity. Due to an increase in rainwater and a reduction of transpiration [15], soil moisture content in temperate broad-leaved deciduous forests [16], northern evergreen coniferous forests [17], and subtropical forests [18] was significantly higher in heavily thinned forest stands. Increases in soil moisture usually promotes microbial activity, thus, favoring P mobilization, ion transport, and plant P uptake [19]. Hu, et al. [13] also indicated that soil acidity and alkaline phosphatase activities were enhanced after thinning management. With the increased availability of resources such as light, water, and nutrients, understory vegetation grows better [20] and utilizes some soil P that is not available to trees [13]. Decomposition of litter in the undergrowth can increase soil available P fraction [10]. All of these studies show that the cycling of different P fractions is influenced by complex microbial-soil-plant interactions, which in turn are affected by management strategies and environmental factors [21]. However, little information is available on the relationship between soil P fractions, microbial characteristics, plant litter, and forest thinning management strategy.

Information on seasonal variations in soil P fractions is crucial for understanding P dynamics, which reflect mineralization-immobilization processes of P_o and its plants utilization strategy [22]. The fluctuation in P fractions during the growing season is considered to be a valuable factor for controlling P bioavailability [23]. Previous studies have shown considerable seasonal variations in soil P fractions [24]. However, inconsistencies in these seasonal patterns were apparent, especially for labile P_i . For example, high soil labile P_i can occur in summer [25] or winter [26]. Likewise, Fabre, et al. [27] observed no seasonality in $R-P_i$. Most studies on temperate ecosystems revealed that labile P fractions declined in summer, which was attributed to the highest plant uptake during summer [28,29]. These differences suggest that seasonal variation in soil P fractions might depend on climate, ecosystem, and soil type.

Larix principis-rupprechtii Mayr. is a deciduous tree that is well-adapted to high light levels and freezing temperatures [30]. Due to its rapid growth, high-quality wood, resistance to harsh climate and soil conditions, and its high wind resistance, this species is commonly used for afforestation in the warm temperate subalpine regions of China [30]. The high initial planting density and the lag of management lead to too large canopy density of existing stands, which reduces soil fertility and becomes a typical low yield and poor efficiency forest [31]. Reasonable thinning can promote the light, water, temperature, and soil nutrients in forests, and improve forest productivity [32]. However, understanding whether a decrease in stand density of *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations will increase the availability of P in soils, and the effect of thinning on the seasonal dynamics of soil P fractions, are still limited.

The objectives of this study were to determine: How seasonally variable the various P fractions would be in *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations; and how thinning treatments would differ in soil P fractions. To do this, we determined the seasonal changes in P fractions, acid phosphomonoesterase, microbial biomass P, as well as other physical-chemical properties and plant litter mass. We hypothesized that: (1) labile P_i in the summer would decline due to an increase in P demand by *L. principis-rupprechtii* stand growth; and (2) soil labile P_i fractions will be enhanced due to improvement of the microenvironment after thinning, which will lead to changes in P fractions and P availability. Likewise, we expect the P availability will increase as thinning intensity increases.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Site Description and Experimental Design

The study was conducted at the Mt. Taiyue in Shanxi, North China (36°31′–36°43′ N, 112°01′–112°15′ E; elevation 2273–2359 m.a.s.l.). The area has a semi-humid temperate monsoon climate, consisting of cold and dry winters and hot and wet summer. Annual average precipitation ranges from 600 mm to 650 mm, with 70% occurring in summer. The average temperature is 8.7 °C. The average frost-free period is 125 days, with an early frost in October and a late frost in April. The growing season occurs from early April to late October. The soil type is Alfisol according to the U.S. soil classification system [33]. The zonal vegetation is a temperate deciduous broad-leaved forest, and the dominate tree species are *L. principis-rupprechtii*, *Pinus tabuliformis* Carr., *Betula platyphylla* Suk., and *Quercus wutaishanica* Mayr.

In the spring of 1982, three-year-old *L. principis-rupprechtii* seedlings were planted at a density of 3000 trees ha⁻¹. Seedlings were planted along contour lines in the mountainous areas of the bush vegetation, following forestry guidelines used to meet timber demands. In April 2010, thinning management treatments were carried out on *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations for whole stands toward the density of 2160 trees ha⁻¹. After thinning, twelve 25 × 25 m plots were set up at a distance of at least 10 m apart in order to avoid edge effects.

In March 2012, the twelve plots were randomly assigned to four treatments for the second thinning management treatment: light thinning (LT, thinned to 1835 trees ha⁻¹), moderate thinning (MT, thinned to 1413 trees ha⁻¹), and high thinning (HT, thinned to 1086 trees ha⁻¹), and control (CK, unthinned). Thus, the study was conducted in a completely randomized design with three replications per treatment. During the thinning process, all trunks and branches were removed, and the leaves and undergrowth plants were left intact.

In July 2014, within each of the 12 plots, we established twenty-five 5 × 5 m² sub plots, where plant height, diameter at breast height (DBH), crown width, and canopy density were measured. Within each sub plot, we used 1 × 1 m² quadrats to sample the understory vegetation, which consisted of herbaceous density, height, and species richness. Detailed information on these four thinning treatments are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Information on studied sites under four thinning treatments in 2014.

Thinning	Density (Trees hm ⁻²)	Stand Age (a)	Slope Gradient (°)	Aspect	Elevation (m)	Mean Height (m)	Mean DBH (cm)
CK	2096 ± 37	35	25 ± 2.7	N	2327 ± 16	14.2 ± 1.3	15.0 ± 0.3
LT	1829 ± 8	35	25 ± 0.3	N	2335 ± 3	14.1 ± 1.2	14.9 ± 0.4
MT	1413 ± 7	35	23 ± 0.3	N	2346 ± 6	15.9 ± 0.6	16.0 ± 0.2
HT	1087 ± 5	35	22 ± 1.5	N	2340 ± 10	15.4 ± 1.3	16.8 ± 0.7

CK, control site; LT, light thinning; MT, moderate thinning; HT, high thinning; DBH, mean of diameter at breast height. Date (mean ± standard error, *n* = 3).

2.2. Field Sampling

Soil samples were collected at four separate times: April (early spring), June (middle summer), August (late summer) and October (late autumn) during the 2017 growing season. Within each plot, a composite soil sample was collected from nine different locations at depths of 0–10, 10–20 and 20–30 cm using a 5 cm diameter auger after the removal and collection of surface litter. Samples were brought back immediately to the laboratory for soil analysis.

Composite soil samples were first used to determine soil moisture content. Soil moisture was determined gravimetrically by drying a 20 g subsample at 105 °C until a constant weight was reached. The remaining composite soil samples were then sieved to 2-mm in order to remove organic debris and stones. Samples were then divided into two subsamples: one was immediately stored at 4 °C for

measuring enzyme activity and microbial biomass, while the other was air-dried and further sieved to 0.25 mm for chemical analyses and P fractionation.

Litter was obtained from the soil surface by using 20 × 20 cm² squares to separate samples into the L layer (i.e., undecomposed litter) and F/H (i.e., mixture of partly decomposed litter and amorphous humus) horizons. These samples were brought to the laboratory and were oven-dried at 65 °C to constant weight, and then to determinate the total P.

2.3. Soil Analysis

Soil temperature at a depth of 0–10 cm was determined hourly for one year by using a temperature recorder (HOBO Onset U22-001, Bourne, MA, USA) at each one of the 12 plots. The average values for continuous one week before each sampling time was used as soil temperature for each treatment sites. Soil pH value was measured in an aqueous extract (1:2.5 soil-water) with a pH-Meters (pH-10, Sartorius, Germany). Soil organic carbon (SOC) and total N (TN) were determined using an elemental analyzer (FLASH2000 CHNS/O, Thermo, Third Avenue Waltham, MA, America) in the 0–10, 10–20 and 20–30 cm soil layers. Soil microbial biomass P (MBP) was determined by the chloroform fumigation extraction method assuming that K_P is 0.40 [34]. Acid phosphomonoesterase (AcPME) activity was assayed by the standard method of Tabatabai and Bremner [35] using *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate as substrate at a temperature of 37 °C. MBP and AcPME were measured in the 0–10 cm soil layer. Soil physical and chemical properties in studied sites under four thinning treatments are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Soil physical and chemical properties in studied sites under four thinning treatments in 2017.

Thinning	SOC (g kg ⁻¹)	TN (g kg ⁻¹)	C/N	MBP (mg kg ⁻¹)	AcPME (μg g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹)
CK	39.2 ± 1.4 ab	2.6 ± 0.1 a	15.7 ± 0.8	22.6 ± 0.8 a	435.9 ± 42.4
LT	36.6 ± 0.8 a	2.8 ± 0.1 ab	13.0 ± 0.3	32.1 ± 3.2 ab	416.2 ± 39.3
MT	46.1 ± 1.5 c	3.3 ± 0.2 b	15.3 ± 0.9	37.0 ± 2.3 b	510.0 ± 50.7
HT	42.8 ± 1.5 bc	3.2 ± 0.2 b	14.6 ± 0.8	29.6 ± 3.7 ab	546.6 ± 47.4

Abbreviations see Table 1. SOC, soil organic carbon; TN, total nitrogen; MBP, microbial biomass P; AcPME, Acid phosphomonoesterase. Date followed by different letters differ significantly according to Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$).

2.4. Phosphorus Fractionation

The fractionation scheme for the different soil P fractions was conducted using the Hedley extraction method (Figure 1) [36]. At each step, 30 mL of extractant was added to a 0.5-g soil sample in a 50-mL centrifuge tube, and shaken for 16 h. The suspended soil solutions were then centrifuged at 25,000 × *g* for 10 min at 0 °C, and were then passed through a 0.45 μm membrane filter to collect clear extractions. All extraction solutions were measured using a modification of the phosphomolybdate blue method [37]. Total P was analyzed after digestion with ammonium persulfate and H₂SO₄ in an autoclave at 121 °C. P_o in the soil was calculated as the difference between total P and P_i.

The P fractions of this sequential extraction are explained as follows: R-P_i (extracted by resin strip) represents freely exchangeable P_i; Bic-P_i fraction (inorganic extracted by NaHCO₃), which is readily available to plants, and Bic-P_o (organic extracted by NaHCO₃) is easily mineralizable and contributes to plant-available P [38]; OH-P (extracted by NaOH) is composed of amorphous and some crystalline Al and Fe phosphates, in which OH-P_i is less utilized by plants and OH-P_o is a relatively stable form. The Dil.HCl-P (extracted by 1 M HCl) is thought to Ca-associated P_i, and rarely any P_o in this extract. The Conc.HCl-P (extracted by concentrated HCl) is useful for discriminating between P_i and P_o in very stable residual pools. The residue left after the hot Conc.HCl extraction is unlikely to contain anything but highly recalcitrant P_i (Res-P_i) [7].




Figure 1. Flow chart of sequential P fractions.

In order to reflect ecological significance, P fractions were grouped into pools according to their plant availability as labile P (R-P_i + Bic-P_i + Bic-P_o), moderately labile P (OH-P_i + OH-P_o + Dil.HCl-P_i) and non-labile P (Conc.HCl-P_i + Conc.HCl-P_o + Res-P_i) [39].

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Both main effects and interactive effects of thinning and season on various P fractions of the soil layer were tested using repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences in the dependent variables within the same soil layers and within each sampling season were evaluated using one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons post hoc test at $p < 0.05$ level. Correlations between soil property and P fraction were assessed using Pearson's correlation coefficients. Data were checked for normality and homoscedasticity prior to statistical analyses, and were also log-transformed to correct for deviations from these assumptions when needed. Analyses were performed using SPSS, version 21.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Soil Total P, P_i and P_o Concentrations

Results from the repeated-measures ANOVA revealed that total P (TP) concentration was affected by stand thinning, season and their interactions at all soil depths ($p < 0.05$, Table 3). Throughout the growing seasons, both soil TP and total P_i (TP_i) reached maximum in October and total P_o (TP_o) in August (Figure 2a). Specifically, TP was higher in October ($539.5 \pm 19.0 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$) than in August ($508.5 \pm 13.3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), June ($433.2 \pm 14.3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), and April ($421.8 \pm 19.0 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) across thinning treatments at the 30-cm depth. Similarly, across the treatments TP_i in October was significantly higher than in April and June. TP_o was significantly ($p < 0.001$) higher in August, followed by October at the 0–30 cm (Figure 2a).

Table 3. Repeated-measures ANOVA for soil P fractions in four thinning treatments from April to October 2017 in *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations.

Depth	Factors	df	Correlation Index	Labile P	Mod.Labile P	Non-Labile P	Total P _i	Total P _o	Total P _t
0–10 cm	Thinning	3	<i>F</i> <i>P</i>	19.2 <0.001	61.3 <0.001	19.2 <0.001	32.0 <0.001	26.1 <0.001	49.9 <0.001
	Season	3	<i>F</i> <i>P</i>	5.4 0.004	18.6 <0.001	28.5 <0.001	31.5 <0.001	10.3 <0.001	30.8 <0.001
	T × S	9	<i>F</i> <i>P</i>	3.2 0.008	4.9 <0.001	3.1 0.009	4.1 0.001	2.9 0.012	3.7 0.003
10–20 cm	Thinning	3	<i>F</i> <i>P</i>	18.1 <0.001	46.7 <0.001	10.6 <0.001	20.6 <0.001	25.2 <0.001	36.1 <0.001
	Season	3	<i>F</i> <i>P</i>	8.9 <0.001	19.1 <0.001	14.5 <0.001	14.9 <0.001	10.6 <0.001	16.4 <0.001
	T × S	9	<i>F</i> <i>P</i>	1.9 0.09	2.9 0.012	1.5 0.19	1.2 0.359	3.9 0.002	2.4 0.036
20–30 cm	Thinning	3	<i>F</i> <i>P</i>	12.2 <0.001	17.5 <0.001	3.8 0.019	13.2 <0.001	5.8 0.003	13.9 <0.001
	Season	3	<i>F</i> <i>P</i>	16.8 <0.001	20.7 <0.001	10.6 <0.001	14.5 <0.001	2.0 0.131	10.9 <0.001
	T × S	9	<i>F</i> <i>P</i>	2.7 0.02	4.0 0.002	2.3 0.042	1.9 0.09	3.2 0.007	3.3 0.006

Note: df, degree of freedom; *F*, the *F* value of the corresponding factor; *p*, significant effects. Bold font indicates $p < 0.05$.




Figure 2. Seasonal changes in total (a), labile (b), moderately labile (c) and non-labile P (d) in *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations. Each bar represents an average value across thinning treatments and soil depths ($n = 36$), i.e., twelve plots \times three soil depths. Error bars indicate standard error. Values within each sampling time followed by different letters differ significantly according to Tukey’s test ($p < 0.05$).

Thinning had significant effects on soil TP, TP_i and TP_o at all depths ($p < 0.05$, Table 3). Concentrations of TP and TP_o increased in MT and HT treatments more than in CK treatment. The only exceptions to this trend were samples collected in deeper soil layers (Figure 3). TP_i was higher in MT than in CK treatment in April, June, October ($p < 0.001$) and August ($p = 0.043$) (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Response of total inorganic and organic P to thinning treatments at the three depths (0–10, 10–20 and 20–30 cm) at various sampling times in *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations. CK, control site; LT, light thinning; MT, moderate thinning; HT, high thinning. Error bars indicate standard error ($n = 3$). Values within each sampling time followed by different letters differ significantly according to Tukey’s test ($p < 0.05$). When the difference was not statistically significant, no letter notation was noted.

3.2. Soil P Fractions

Labile P concentrations (2–11% of TP) were affected by season ($p < 0.05$, Table 3). With the exception of MT, soil labile P_i in all soil layers increased in the summer ($15.5 \pm 0.6 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and was lowest in autumn ($9.6 \pm 0.7 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) (Figures 2b and 3). Seasonal patterns of soil labile P_o differed significantly among the treatments and the CK. In CK, labile P_o increased from spring to autumn in the 0–10 cm soil layer, resulting almost 3.7 times increase in autumn relative to spring. In LT and MT, labile P_o was lowest in summer at the 0–10 cm ($10.5 \pm 1.3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and 10–20 cm ($18.5 \pm 1.7 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) soil layers, respectively (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Response of labile P fractions to thinning treatments at the three depths (0–10, 10–20 and 20–30 cm) at various sampling times in *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations. CK, control site; LT, light thinning; MT, moderate thinning; HT, high thinning. Error bars indicate standard error ($n = 3$). Values within each sampling time followed by different letters differ significantly according to Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$). When the difference was not statistically significant, no letter notation was noted.

There were significant thinning effects observed in soil labile P at each season ($p < 0.001$, Table 3). Compared to CK, $R-P_i$ concentration only increased significantly in MT, $Bic-P_o$ increased significantly in both MT and HT, and decreased in LT (Figure 4). Specifically, $R-P_i$ concentration significantly increased in MT at the 0–10 cm depth in spring (twice) and at the 20–30 cm depth in summer (53%) compared with that in CK. $Bic-P_o$ concentrations accumulation at the 30-cm depth was 58.1% and 31.2% greater for the MT and HT than for CK across seasons. By contrast, in autumn, $bic-P_o$ concentration was lower in the LT treatment ($11.1 \pm 1.7 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) than in CK ($14.1 \pm 1.0 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) (Figure 4).

We found significant seasonal variations in moderately labile P (16%–49% of TP) in all treatments and soil depths ($p < 0.001$, Table 3). Soil $OH-P_i$ increased during the summer, and concentration of $OH-P_o$ showed similar seasonal patterns as those found for labile P_o (Figure 2c). Moderately labile P concentration was affected by thinning at all three soil depths ($p < 0.001$, Table 3). Moderately labile P concentration was almost always greater in MT and HT, and lower in LT than in CK. In MT and HT, it was significantly higher in April ($p < 0.001$), June ($p = 0.011$), and October ($p = 0.001$) (Figure 5). In contrast, in LT, $OH-P_i$ concentration decreased by 29.0% and 22.3% at the 10–20 and 20–30 cm depths in August compared with that in CK (Figure 5).

Non-labile P concentration (42–77% of TP) was affected by thinning and season at all soil depths ($p < 0.05$, Table 3). Across treatments, non-labile P_i and P_o were higher in autumn and summer, respectively (Figure 2d). Specifically, non-labile P_i concentration significantly increased throughout the seasons: October ($224.1 \pm 7.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) > August ($172.4 \pm 3.3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) > June ($161.2 \pm 6.7 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) > April ($140.2 \pm 6.6 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), while the order for non-labile P_o concentration was August ($119.5 \pm 3.7 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) > June ($104.1 \pm 3.0 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) > October ($95.2 \pm 3.8 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) > April ($91.6 \pm 4.9 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). Non-labile P concentration was always lower in LT than in CK, especially in deeper soil layers (Figure 6).




Figure 5. Response of moderately labile P fractions to thinning treatments at the three depths (0–10, 10–20 and 20–30 cm) at various sampling times in *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations. CK, control site; LT, light thinning; MT, moderate thinning; HT, high thinning. Error bars indicate standard error ($n = 3$). Values within each sampling time followed by different letters differ significantly according to Tukey’s test ($p < 0.05$). When the difference was not statistically significant, no letter notation was noted.




Figure 6. Response of non-labile P fractions to thinning treatments at the three depths (0–10, 10–20 and 20–30 cm) at various sampling times in *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations. CK, control site; LT, light thinning; MT, moderate thinning; HT, high thinning. Error bars indicate standard error ($n = 3$). Values within each sampling time followed by different letters differ significantly according to Tukey’s test ($p < 0.05$). When the difference was not statistically significant, no letter notation was noted.

3.3. Changes in Soil and Litter Properties

Soil temperature extremes were measured in August (10.7 ± 0.06 °C) and April (1.5 ± 0.22 °C). Compared to CK, the MT treatment significantly increased soil temperatures in August and October (Figure 7a). Mean across-seasons soil moisture was significantly higher in MT and HT than in CK. The increase in soil moisture in HT (17.9%) was less than in MT (20.8%) at all soil layers (Figure 7b). Soil pH was significantly affected by sampling times, except for HT. Soil pH was significantly higher in October (6.9 ± 0.06) than at any other sampling times (6.3 ± 0.03) across four thinning treatments (Figure 8). Concentration of litter P of two layers (L and F/H layer) peaked in MT in August (Figure 9).




Figure 7. Effects of thinning treatments on soil temperature (a) and moisture (b) at various sampling times in *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations. CK, control site; LT, light thinning; MT, moderate thinning; HT, high thinning. Values within each sampling time followed by different letters differ significantly according to Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$). When the difference was not statistically significant, no letter notation was noted.




Figure 8. Effects of sampling times on soil pH in four thinning treatments in *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations. CK, control site; LT, light thinning; MT, moderate thinning; HT, high thinning. Error bars indicate standard error ($n = 9$), i.e., three plots repeats \times three soil depths. Values within each treatment followed by different letters differ significantly according to Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$). When the difference was not statistically significant, no letter notation was noted.




Figure 9. Effects of thinning treatments on P in litter of L layer (a) and F/H layer (b) at various sampling times in *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations. CK, control site; LT, light thinning; MT, moderate thinning; HT, high thinning; L layer, undecomposed litter; F/H layer, mixture of partly decomposed litter and amorphous humus. Error bars indicate standard error ($n = 3$). Values within each sampling time followed by different letters differ significantly according to Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$). When the difference was not statistically significant, no letter notation was noted.

3.4. Correlation between P Fractions and Soil Properties

At the 0–10 cm depth, most P fractions were positively correlated with SOC, soil moisture, MBP and AcPME. The only exceptions were for OH-P_i with SOC ($p > 0.10$), with AcPME ($p > 0.10$), and Bic-P_o with MBP ($p = 0.06$) (Table 4). All P fractions were positively correlated with SOC at the 10–30 cm depth. There was a positive correlation between P fractions and soil moisture at the 10–30 cm depth, except for OH-P_o ($p > 0.10$) and TP_o ($p > 0.10$) at the 20–30 cm (Table 4).

Table 4. Coefficient (r) for the Pearson's correlations between soil P fractions and soil property in *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations.

Depth/cm	Soil Properties	R-P _i	Bic-P _o	OH-P _i	OH-P _o	Dil.HCl-P _i	Total P _i	Total P _o	Total P _t
0–10	SOC	0.68 *	0.69 *	0.02	0.66 *	0.66 *	0.59 *	0.60 *	0.60 *
	Moisture	0.83 **	0.94 **	0.60 *	0.85 **	0.88 **	0.86 **	0.77 **	0.83 **
	pH	−0.13	−0.40	−0.23	−0.19	−0.36	−0.36	−0.27	−0.33
	Temperature	−0.22	−0.16	0.36	−0.13	0.09	0.15	0.07	0.12
	MBP	0.76 **	0.55	0.84 **	0.79 **	0.74 **	0.80 **	0.91 **	0.86 **
	AcPME	0.72 **	0.82 **	0.00	0.72 **	0.69 *	0.61 *	0.60 *	0.61 *
10–20	SOC	0.80 **	0.91 **	0.70 *	0.76 **	0.87 **	0.88 **	0.90 **	0.91 **
	Moisture	0.59 *	0.70 *	0.69 *	0.64 *	0.79 **	0.73 **	0.74 **	0.75 **
	pH	−0.15	−0.48	−0.20	−0.45	−0.33	−0.45	−0.37	−0.42
20–30	SOC	0.83 **	0.78 **	0.84 **	0.80 **	0.84 **	0.88 **	0.88 **	0.95 **
	Moisture	0.58 *	0.70 *	0.85 **	0.43	0.64 *	0.79 **	0.45	0.69 *
	pH	−0.04	−0.61 *	−0.08	−0.56	−0.28	−0.34	−0.44	−0.41

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$.

4. Discussion

4.1. Seasonal Variation

A literature review concluded that P recycling was driven primarily by plant P demand and was sustained by leaf litter inputs in the forest and root litter inputs in grassland [21]. In our study, the highest values of TP and P_i occur in October (Figure 2a). The increase in organic inputs from leaf litter and smaller mineralization of P in autumn and winter were recognized as a major contribution to the increase in the TP [39]. Concentration of TP_i increased in October because under cooler conditions typical of autumn and winter, plant growth slows and nutrients are returned to the soil from decomposing surface litter [40] and roots [41]. These results indicate a seasonal synergy between plants and P nutrient in the whole Larch plantation. Liu, et al. [5] also found that TP increased in the fog-cool season in rubber-based agroforestry systems, although this was only observed in deeper soil layers (30–60 cm). However, TP did not vary seasonally in other forest types [42]. Still, in an elm-savanna and a grassland, TP decreased considerably during the summer [25].

Seasonality seemed to affect labile P fractions through changes in soil moisture and temperature, although it could also indirectly regulate substrate effectiveness of litter and roots via plant phenology [5]. Labile soil P showed a pronounced seasonal variation at all three soil depths, which was reflected in the observed changes of its components (i.e., R-P_i and Bic-extractable P) among different thinning treatments.

Labile P_i is the most mobile soil P fraction and can, thus, be easily translocated or lost by leaching or biological uptake. In our study, labile P_i was highest in summer and lowest in the autumn at all soil layers, except for MT (Figures 2b and 4). In sub-humid warm temperate areas like those studied here, we would expect to see a decline in labile P_i that is easily available in summer due to a greater P demand during the growing season. However, labile P_i at all three soil layers reached its peak in summer, except for MT, coupled with a different degree of decrease in labile and moderately labile P_o (Figure 2). Such a trend was obtained by [5] where the author suggests that the increase in labile P_i is due to the release of P_o accumulated over the previous year. Similarly, labile P_i greatly increased in the summer and decreased in spring and autumn in five vegetation types in the southeastern Keerqin

Sandy Lands of China [25]. This was attributed to a combination of increases in temperature, moisture, and plant root activity during the summer, which was promoted by the biological transformation of soil P and the increased availability of labile P_i [22]. Labile P_i was lowest in the autumn when pH was higher (i.e., >6.5; Figure 8). This is due to the solubility isotherms of bound P cross at pH 6.0–6.5. Thus, in such milieu, P anions are potentially most mobile and bioavailable [43]. And also could be attributed to soil temperature controlling the spatial P ion mobility during colder months. For example, Godlinski, et al. [44] documented higher P loss in winter when vegetation was inactive. Conversely, the most labile forms of P were highly dependent on season with stabilization (R- P_i) or important accumulation during winter (Bic- P_i) in the soil of a riparian forest [27]. Stabilization or accumulation were ascribed to cold winter temperatures, to the decay of leaves, and to the leaching of labile forms of P from the litter. These changes can be explained by seasonal fluctuations in soil moisture and temperature, and to their associated microbial activity throughout the year, and to differences in stand and soil type.

Easily mineralizable labile P_o (Bic- P_o) is considered to be controlled by biological processes in soils. Seasonal variations of soil labile P_o differed among the treatments and the CK. Lower Bic- P_o was observed in the summer in the first 20 cm of soil layer of LT and MT (Figure 4), which can be ascribed to intensive P_o mineralization stimulated by enhanced microbial activity under favorable soil conditions. However, the significant increase in labile P_o observed in October of CK at the 0–10 cm was positively correlated with AcPME (Table 4) [42]. Chen et al. [29] also reported a substantial increase in this fraction in the winter, which was attributed to microbial inactivation under low temperature conditions. Furthermore, Bic- P_o was positively correlated with litter and soil C (Table 4), highlighting the potential importance of organic inputs in the contribution to P fertility [45].

Relatively few studies have focused on seasonal variations in moderately labile and non-labile soil P [7]. Concentration of OH- P_i was greater in August than in other sampling times across all treatments (Figure 2c and Table S2). Higher temperatures may foster the formation of crystalline mineral phases that sorb P more strongly than amorphous phases. On the other hand, soil moisture content also affects its concentration. Higher temperatures influence evapotranspiration and then soil water content, thus, solute transport in soil solutions [43].

Concentrations of Non-labile P_i (Conc.HCl- P_i and Res- P_i) was greatest in October at all depths among the four treatments. This is in line with that of Özgül, et al. [46], who believed that cold conditions as well as drying influence the soil solution volume and thus, raise ion concentrations. This can foster the precipitation of P-containing minerals and can decrease P availability [46]. The increase of non-labile P_o (Conc.HCl- P_o) concentrations in summer suggests a progressive incorporation of sediments deposited by summer storms, or through the soil fauna's ingestion/dejection processes [27]. Hence, climate change and the expected modifications in temperature and precipitation patterns will probably affect P solubility and the P fractions found in soils [47]. It can be used as a breakthrough point for future soil phosphorus research.

4.2. Treatment Effects

Significant differences in soil P fractions and TP_i , TP_o and TP were observed across seasons in *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations after thinning (Figure 3 and Table S1), indicating that thinning treatment greatly influences P composition and quantity. Concentrations of TP_i , TP_o and TP were consistently higher in MT and HT relative to CK, regardless of sampling time. This finding suggests that there is an advantage in MT and HT treatments when conservation of soil P stocks are important. This may be explained by the more abundant understory in MT and HT [17], and by the greater organic matter input as a result of decomposing roots and leaves when *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations are thinned [48]. In line with this notion is the finding by Gang, et al. [49], who demonstrated that understory vegetation has the potential to make substantial contributions to the maintenance of soil fertility.

Phosphorous availability is determined primarily by fluctuations of individual P fractions, rather than by TP [39]. In our study, MT led to a substantial increase in R-P_i. And, Bic-P_o and moderately labile P were greater in the MT and HT (Figures 4 and 5). These changes could be due to several factors associated with different thinning strategies. Firstly, higher SOC in the MT and HT (Table 2) contributing to the increase in the labile P fraction. This is in line with that of Johnson, et al. [50], who found that soil organic matter content is an important determinant of the labile P pool. Furthermore, after four decades of post-agricultural forest development, soils with high organic matter were found to have high concentrations of labile Pi [51].

The second associated factor that may explain the observed thinning effect is microbial biomass and activity. Microorganisms are known to play an important role in the mobilization of poorly available P, and can subsequently increase plant available P. Our results showed an increase in MBP after MT (Table 2), and a significantly positive correlation with most P fractions (Table 4). Kim, et al. [14] also found that microbial biomass increased after thinning. Likewise, young intercropping rubber-based agroforestry systems saw a steady increment in R-P_i at all three depths across seasons, which was attributed to the high microbial activity enhanced by the sufficient C source [5].

Third, elevated soil moisture in the MT and HT treatments (Figure 7b) may also led to increase in labile and moderately labile P. At all three soil depths, most P forms had a high positive correlation with soil moisture (Table 4). This was maybe due to the fact that greater soil moisture lends an advantage to microbial and phosphatase activities, which will favor P mobilization and ion transport, and can, thus, enhance total ecosystem nutrition [52]. To some extent, this trend is in line with another study conducted in a reforested spruce forest, where the authors found an increase in labile P with more precipitation and balanced monthly distribution of precipitation [13]. This suggests that soil P availability could be related to soil moisture, as the latter could accelerate the mineralization of resistant Po and release orthophosphate ions [53].

Finally, we expect that the abundant of litter P in MT (Figure 9) was also an important factor in increase labile P and moderately labile P. A similar result was obtained by Huang and Spohn [54] in a litter manipulation experiment. Overall, thinning increased labile and moderately labile P of MT and HT by improving the soil microenvironment, including soil moisture, soil temperature, solar radiation, etc., and by increasing the input of substrate. The increase of plant-available P and potential-labile P also supports our hypothesis that soil P availability will be enhanced after thinning, which was beneficial to the restoration of the soil fertility in the *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantation.

The R-P_i represents freely exchangeable P_i. Only dissolved P_i in the soil solution can be taken up directly by plants and microorganisms and enter the food chain [43]. In this *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations, the average concentrations of R-P_i was 8.20 mg kg⁻¹, which accounted for only about 2% of the TP in soil. In our study, only MT increased the R-P_i concentration (Figure 4). Moreover the effect of thinning did not tend to increase with thinning intensity. This can be explained by more favorable conditions for microbes (high soil moisture and temperature), and more abundant litter-P in MT. therefore, we think that the MT was most effective for the bioavailability of the P pool.

Contrary to our hypothesis, our study found that labile P_i did not change, but less-labile P_i and P_o fractions declined in LT compared with that in CK, especially in the soil below the top 10-cm (Figures 5 and 6). We attribute this to the ability of *L. principis-rupprechtii* to utilize less-labile P fractions used for biomass accumulation, while also having minimal impacts on P availability [55]. These findings also suggest that non-labile P acts as both a source and a sink for available P [7].

5. Conclusions

In summary, most P fractions were significantly affected by season and thinning. Due to the plant demand and seasonal dynamics of soil conditions, the highest values of P fractions occur in the summer and autumn. Under different thinning treatments, less-labile P_i and P_o fractions were lower in LT compared with that in CK, suggesting that LT has a strong ability to utilize less-labile Pi and Po. In contrast, labile P_o, moderately labile P and TP were enhanced by both MT and HT treatments than

in CK, which attribute to increasing SOC, microbial activity, soil moisture, and quantity of substrate after MT and HT. Interestingly, since only MT increase the R-P_i concentration, we found MT was most effective for the bioavailability of the P pool. Therefore, management strategies similar to our MT treatment can be considered as density adjustment measures for *L. principis-rupprechtii* plantations in North China. Our results emphasize that the effect of forest management on soil microenvironment is an important basis for evaluating soil nutrients such as soil P bioavailability.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at <http://www.mdpi.com/1999-4907/10/2/172/s1>, Table S1: The average of soil P fractions (mg kg⁻¹) in four thinning treatments across seasons. Table S2: The average of soil P fractions (mg kg⁻¹) in four sampling times irrespective of the treatments.

Author Contributions: H.T. Analyzed the Data and Wrote the Manuscript. H.T., H.J., X.L. and Z.L. Collected the Data. X.C. Revised the Manuscript. H.H. Designed the Study.

Funding: This Study was Supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFD0600205); the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31700372).

Acknowledgments: We Gratefully Acknowledge the Support from The Taiyue Forestry Bureau and The Haodifang Forestry Centre for Fieldworks. We Would Also Like to Thank Murphy Stephen at The Yale University for His Assistance with English Language and Grammatical Editing of The Manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The Authors Declare no Conflict of Interest.

References

1. Vincent, A.G.; Schleucher, J.; Gröbner, G.; Vestergren, J.; Persson, P.; Jansson, M.; Giesler, R. Changes in organic phosphorus composition in boreal forest humus soils: The role of iron and aluminium. *Biogeochemistry* **2012**, *108*, 485–499. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Hinsinger, P. Bioavailability of soil inorganic P in the rhizosphere as affected by root-induced chemical changes: A review. *Plant Soil* **2001**, *237*, 173–195. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. McDowell, R.W.; Stewart, I. The phosphorus composition of contrasting soils in pastoral, native and forest management in Otago, New Zealand: Sequential extraction and ³¹P NMR. *Geoderma* **2006**, *130*, 176–189. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Cherubin, M.R.; Franco, A.L.C.; Cerri, C.E.P.; Karlen, D.L.; Pavinato, P.S.; Rodrigues, M.; Davies, C.A.; Cerri, C.C. Phosphorus pools responses to land-use change for sugarcane expansion in weathered Brazilian soils. *Geoderma* **2016**, *265*, 27–38. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Liu, C.; Jin, Y.; Liu, C.; Tang, J.; Wang, Q.; Xu, M. Phosphorous fractions in soils of rubber-based agroforestry systems: Influence of season, management and stand age. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *616–617*, 1576–1588. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Stutter, M.I.; Shand, C.A.; George, T.S.; Blackwell, M.S.A.; Dixon, L.; Bol, R.; MacKay, R.L.; Richardson, A.E.; Condon, L.M.; Haygarth, P.M. Land use and soil factors affecting accumulation of phosphorus species in temperate soils. *Geoderma* **2015**, *257–258*, 29–39. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. von Sperber, C.; Stallforth, R.; Preez, C.D.; Amelung, W. Changes in soil phosphorus pools during prolonged arable cropping in semiarid grasslands. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* **2017**, *68*, 462–471. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Oberson, A.; Friesen, D.K.; Rao, I.M.; Bühler, S.; Frossard, E. Phosphorus Transformations in an Oxisol under contrasting land-use systems: The role of the soil microbial biomass. *Plant Soil* **2001**, *237*, 197–210. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Khan, K.S.; Joergensen, R.G. Response of white mustard (*Sinapis alba*) and the soil microbial biomass to P and Zn addition in a greenhouse pot experiment. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* **2015**, *178*, 834–840. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Hou, E.; Chen, C.; Wen, D.; Liu, X. Phosphatase activity in relation to key litter and soil properties in mature subtropical forests in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2015**, *515*, 83–91. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Slazak, A.; Freese, D.; Matos, E.D.S.; Hüttl, R.F. Soil organic phosphorus fraction in pine-oak forest stands in Northeastern Germany. *Geoderma* **2010**, *158*, 156–162. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Kuehne, C.; Weiskittel, A.; Pommerening, A.; Wagner, R.G. Evaluation of 10-year temporal and spatial variability in structure and growth across contrasting commercial thinning treatments in spruce-fir forests of northern Maine, USA. *Ann. For. Sci.* **2018**, *75*, 20. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Hu, B.; Yang, B.; Pang, X.; Bao, W.; Tian, G. Responses of soil phosphorus fractions to gap size in a reforested spruce forest. *Geoderma* **2016**, *279*, 61–69. [[CrossRef](#)]

14. Kim, S.; Li, G.; Han, S.H.; Kim, H.J.; Kim, C.; Lee, S.T.; Son, Y. Thinning affects microbial biomass without changing enzyme activity in the soil of *Pinus densiflora* Sieb. et Zucc. forests after 7 years. *Ann. For. Sci.* **2018**, *75*, 13. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Zhu, J.; Matsuzaki, T.; Lee, F.; Gonda, Y. Effect of gap size created by thinning on seedling emergency, survival and establishment in a coastal pine forest. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2003**, *182*, 339–354. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Latif, Z.A.; Blackburn, G.A. The effects of gap size on some microclimate variables during late summer and autumn in a temperate broadleaved deciduous forest. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **2010**, *54*, 119–129. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Ma, J.; Kang, F.; Cheng, X.; Han, H. Moderate thinning increases soil organic carbon in *Larix principis-rupprechtii* (Pinaceae) plantations. *Geoderma* **2018**, *329*, 118–128. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Lei, L.; Xiao, W.; Zeng, L.; Zhu, J.; Huang, Z.; Cheng, R.; Gao, S.; Li, M.H. Thinning but not understory removal increased heterotrophic respiration and total soil respiration in *Pinus massoniana* stands. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2017**, *621*, 1360–1369. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Netzer, F.; Thöm, C.; Celepirovic, N.; Ivankovic, M.; Alfarraj, S.; Dounavi, A.; Simon, J.; Herschbach, C.; Rennenberg, H. Drought effects on C, N, and P nutrition and the antioxidative system of beech seedlings depend on geographic origin. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* **2016**, *179*, 136–150. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Richards, J.D.; Hart, J.L. Canopy gap dynamics and development patterns in secondary *Quercus* stands on the Cumberland Plateau, Alabama, USA. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2011**, *262*, 2229–2239. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Chen, C.R.; Condrón, L.M.; Xu, Z.H. Impacts of grassland afforestation with coniferous trees on soil phosphorus dynamics and associated microbial processes: A review. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2008**, *255*, 396–409. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Magid, J.; Nielsen, N.E. Seasonal variation in organic and inorganic phosphorus fractions of temperate-climate sandy soils. *Plant Soil* **1992**, *144*, 155–165. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Frossard, E.; Condrón, L.M.; Oberson, A.; Sinaj, S.; Fardeau, J.C. Processes governing phosphorus availability in temperate soils. *J. Environ. Qual.* **2000**, *29*, 15–23. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Wu, C.; Mo, Q.; Wang, H.; Zhang, Z.; Huang, G.; Ye, Q.; Zou, Q.; Kong, F.; Liu, Y.; Wang, G.G. Moso bamboo (*Phyllostachys edulis* (Carriere) J. Houzeau) invasion affects soil phosphorus dynamics in adjacent coniferous forests in subtropical China. *Ann. For. Sci.* **2018**, *75*, 24. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Zhao, Q.; Zeng, D.H.; Fan, Z.P.; Yu, Z.Y.; Hu, Y.L.; Zhang, J. Seasonal variations in phosphorus fractions in semiarid sandy soils under different vegetation types. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2009**, *258*, 1376–1382. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Styles, D.; Coxon, C. Meteorological and management influences on seasonal variation in phosphorus fractions extracted from soils in western Ireland. *Geoderma* **2007**, *142*, 152–164. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Fabre, A.; Pinay, G.; Ruffinoni, C. Seasonal Changes in Inorganic and Organic Phosphorus in the Soil of a Riparian Forest. *Biogeochemistry* **1996**, *35*, 419–432. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Hooper, D.U.; Vitousek, P.M. Effects of plant composition and diversity on nutrient cycling. *Ecol. Monogr.* **1998**, *68*, 121–149. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Chen, C.R.; Condrón, L.M.; Davis, M.R.; Sherlock, R.R. Seasonal changes in soil phosphorus and associated microbial properties under adjacent grassland and forest in New Zealand. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2003**, *177*, 539–557. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Yuan, J.; Jose, S.; Hu, Z.; Pang, J.; Hou, L.; Zhang, S. Biometric and Eddy Covariance Methods for Examining the Carbon Balance of a *Larix principis-rupprechtii* Forest in the Qinling Mountains, China. *Forests* **2018**, *9*, 67. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Lun, F.; Liu, Y.; He, L.; Yang, L.; Liu, M.; Li, W. Life cycle research on the carbon budget of the *Larix principis-rupprechtii* plantation forest ecosystem in North China. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2018**, *177*, 178–186. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Cheng, X.; Kang, F.; Han, H.; Liu, H.; Zhang, Y. Effect of thinning on partitioned soil respiration in a young *Pinus tabulaeformis* plantation during growing season. *Agr. For. Meteorol.* **2015**, *214–215*, 473–482. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Shi, X.Z.; Yu, D.S.; Xu, S.X.; Warner, E.D.; Wang, H.J.; Sun, W.X.; Zhao, Y.C.; Gong, Z.T. Cross-reference for relating Genetic Soil Classification of China with WRB at different scales. *Geoderma* **2010**, *155*, 344–350. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Brookes, P.C.; Powlson, D.S.; Jenkinson, D.S. Measurement of microbial biomass phosphorus in soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **1982**, *14*, 319–329. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Tabatabai, M.A.; Bremner, J.M. Use of *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate for assay of soil phosphatase activity. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **1969**, *1*, 301–307. [[CrossRef](#)]

36. Tiessen, H.; Moir, J. Characterization of available P by sequential extraction. In *Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis*; Carter, M.R., Ed.; Lewis Publishers: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1993.
37. Murphy, J.; Riley, J.P. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1962**, *27*, 31–36. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Bowman, R.A.; Cole, C.V. Transformations of organic phosphorus substrates in soils as evaluated by NaHCO₃ extraction. *Soil Sci.* **1978**, *125*, 49–54. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Rodrigues, M.; Pavinato, P.S.; Withers, P.J.A.; Teles, A.P.B.; Herrera, W.F.B. Legacy phosphorus and no tillage agriculture in tropical oxisols of the Brazilian savanna. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *542*, 1050–1061. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Chaneton, E.J.; Lemcoff, J.H.; Lavado, R.S. Nitrogen and Phosphorus Cycling in Grazed and Ungrazed Plots in a Temperate Subhumid Grassland in Argentina. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **1996**, *33*, 291–302. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Saggari, S.; Hedley, C.B. Estimating seasonal and annual carbon inputs, and root decomposition rates in a temperate pasture following field ¹⁴C pulse-labelling. *Plant Soil* **2001**, *236*, 91–103. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Yang, K.; Zhu, J.; Yan, Q.; Sun, O.J. Changes in soil P chemistry as affected by conversion of natural secondary forests to larch plantations. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2010**, *260*, 422–428. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Weihrauch, C.; Opp, C. Ecologically relevant phosphorus pools in soils and their dynamics: The story so far. *Geoderma* **2018**, *325*, 183–194. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Godlinski, F.; Reiche, E.W.; Lennartz, B.; Meissner, R. Simulation of phosphorus losses from lysimeters. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* **2008**, *171*, 621–633. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Zhang, H.; Shi, L.; Wen, D.; Yu, K. Soil potential labile but not occluded phosphorus forms increase with forest succession. *Biol. Fertility Soils* **2016**, *52*, 41–51. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Özgül, M.; Günes, A.; Esringü, A.; Turan, M. The effects of freeze-and-thaw cycles on phosphorus availability in highland soils in Turkey. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* **2012**, *175*, 827–839. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Whitehead, P.G.; Crossman, J. Macronutrient cycles and climate change: Key science areas and an international perspective. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2012**, *434*, 13–17. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Weintraub, S.R.; Wieder, W.R.; Cleveland, C.C.; Townsend, A.R. Organic matter inputs shift soil enzyme activity and allocation patterns in a wet tropical forest. *Biogeochemistry* **2013**, *114*, 313–326. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Gang, Q.; Yan, Q.; Zhu, J. Effects of thinning on early seed regeneration of two broadleaved tree species in larch plantations: Implication for converting pure larch plantations into larch-broadleaved mixed forests. *Forestry* **2015**, *88*, 573–585. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Johnson, A.H.; Frizano, J.; Vann, D.R. Biogeochemical implications of labile phosphorus in forest soils determined by the Hedley fractionation procedure. *Oecologia* **2003**, *135*, 487–499. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. De Schrijver, A.; Vesterdal, L.; Hansen, K.; De Frenne, P.; Augusto, L.; Achat, D.L.; Staelens, J.; Baeten, L.; De Keersmaeker, L.; De Neve, S. Four decades of post-agricultural forest development have caused major redistributions of soil phosphorus fractions. *Oecologia* **2012**, *169*, 221–234. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Lang, F.; Bauhus, J.; Frossard, E.; George, E.; Kaiser, K.; Kaupenjohann, M.; Krüger, J.; Matzner, E.; Polle, A.; Prietzel, J.; et al. Phosphorus in forest ecosystems: New insights from an ecosystem nutrition perspective. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* **2016**, *179*, 129–135. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. He, Y.Q.; Zhu, Y.G.; Smith, S.E.; Smith, F.A. Interactions between soil moisture content and phosphorus supply in spring wheat plants grown in pot culture. *J. Plant Nutr.* **2002**, *25*, 913–925. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Huang, W.; Spohn, M. Effects of long-term litter manipulation on soil carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus in a temperate deciduous forest. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2015**, *83*, 12–18. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Teles, A.P.B.; Rodrigues, M.; Herrera, W.F.B.; Soltangheisi, A.; Sartor, L.R.; Withers, P.J.A.; Pavinato, P.S. Do cover crops change the lability of phosphorus in a clayey subtropical soil under different phosphate fertilizers? *Soil Use Manag.* **2017**, *33*, 34–44. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Reproduced with permission of copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.